

**ELSEVIER**Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://ScienceDirect)**Journal of Differential Equations**[www.elsevier.com/locate/jde](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jde)

# Asymptotic behavior of solutions to a hyperbolic–elliptic coupled system in multi-dimensional radiating gas

Lizhi Ruan, Changjiang Zhu\*

Laboratory of Nonlinear Analysis, Department of Mathematics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, PR China

**ARTICLE INFO***Article history:*

Received 25 June 2008

Revised 18 August 2009

Available online 14 August 2010

*Keywords:*

Hyperbolic–elliptic coupled system

Asymptotic decay rate

 $L^1$ -estimate $L^p$ -energy method**ABSTRACT**

This paper is concerned with the asymptotic behavior of solutions to the Cauchy problem of a hyperbolic–elliptic coupled system in the multi-dimensional radiating gas

$$u_t + a \cdot \nabla u^2 + \operatorname{div} q = 0, \quad -\nabla \operatorname{div} q + q + \nabla u = 0,$$

with initial data

$$u(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) = u_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow u_{\pm}, \quad x_1 \rightarrow \pm\infty.$$

First, for the case with the same end states  $u_- = u_+ = 0$ , we prove the existence and uniqueness of the global solutions to the above Cauchy problem by combining some *a priori* estimates and the local existence based on the continuity argument. Then  $L^p$ -convergence rates of solutions are respectively obtained by applying  $L^2$ -energy method for  $n = 1, 2, 3$  and  $L^p$ -energy method for  $3 < n < 8$  and interpolation inequality. Furthermore, by semigroup argument, we obtain the decay rates to the diffusion waves for  $1 \leq n < 8$ . Secondly, for the case with the different end states  $u_- < u_+$ , our main concern is that the corresponding Cauchy problem in  $n$ -dimensional space ( $n = 1, 2, 3$ ) behaviors like planar rarefaction waves. Its convergence rate is also obtained by  $L^2$ -energy method and  $L^1$ -estimate.

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [cjzhu@mail.ccnu.edu.cn](mailto:cjzhu@mail.ccnu.edu.cn) (C. Zhu).

## 1. Introduction and main results

In this paper, we are concerned with the asymptotic behavior of solutions to the Cauchy problem of the hyperbolic–elliptic coupled system in the multi-dimensional radiating gas

$$\begin{cases} u_t + a \cdot \nabla u^2 + \operatorname{div} q = 0, \\ -\nabla \operatorname{div} q + q + \nabla u = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

with initial data

$$u(x, 0) = u(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) = u_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow u_{\pm}, \quad x_1 \rightarrow \pm\infty, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $u_{\pm}$  are given constant states,  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a constant vector and  $u, q$  are unknown functions of the spacial variable  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and the time variable  $t$ . Typically,  $u = u(x, t)$  and  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)(x, t)$  represent the velocity and radiating heat flux of the gas respectively.

The system (1.1) is a simplified version of the model for the motion of radiating gas in  $n$ -dimensional space. More precisely, in a certain physical situation, the system (1.1) gives a good approximation to the fundamental system describing the motion of a radiating gas, which is a quite general model for compressible gas dynamics where heat radiative transfer phenomena are taken into account and given by the hyperbolic–elliptic coupled model

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ (\rho u)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u + pI) = 0, \\ \left\{ \rho \left( e + \frac{|u|^2}{2} \right) \right\}_t + \operatorname{div} \left\{ \rho u \left( e + \frac{|u|^2}{2} \right) + pu + q \right\} = 0, \\ -\nabla \operatorname{div} q + \lambda q + \mu \nabla \theta^4 = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\rho$ ,  $u$ ,  $p$ ,  $e$  and  $\theta$  are respectively the mass density, velocity, pressure, internal energy and absolute temperature of the gas, while  $q$  is the radiative heat flux, and  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are given positive constants depending on the gas itself. The first three equations are motivated as for the usual Euler system. The study of the Euler equations is a classical topic. However the physical motivation of the fourth equation, which take into account of heat radiation phenomena, is given in [24]. On the other hand, The simplified model (1.1) was first recovered by Hamer (see [6]), and for the reduction of system (1.3) to system (1.1), we refer to [4,6,24].

The system (1.1) has been extensively studied by several authors in different contexts recently, but most of which are in the case of one space dimension.

Concerning the large-time behavior of solutions to the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t + uu_{x_1} + q_{x_1} = 0, \\ -q_{x_1 x_1} + q + u_{x_1} = 0, \\ u(x_1, 0) = u_0(x_1) \rightarrow u_{\pm}, \quad \text{as } x_1 \rightarrow \pm\infty, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

we mention [23,13,14]. In [23], Tanaka discussed the case where  $u_- = u_+ = 0$ , and proved that the solution to the Cauchy problem (1.4) approaches the diffusion wave which is the self-similar solution to the viscous Burgers equation  $u_t + uu_{x_1} = u_{x_1 x_1}$ . In [13], Kawashima and Nishibata discussed the case where  $u_- > u_+$ , and proved that the solution to the Cauchy problem (1.4) approaches the traveling wave of shock profile. The remaining case  $u_- < u_+$  was studied in [14]. In this case, Kawashima and Tanaka showed the asymptotic stability of the rarefaction waves for the Cauchy problem (1.4) and obtained the convergence rates.

In addition, let  $\psi(x)$  be the fundamental solution to the elliptic operator  $-\Delta + I$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). Then one can solve the second equation (1.1)<sub>2</sub> to obtain  $\operatorname{div} q$  by  $u$  and substitute it into the first equation (1.1)<sub>1</sub> to rewrite (1.1) as a scalar balance law of the form

$$u_t + a \cdot \nabla u^2 = -u + \psi * u, \quad (1.5)$$

which is the most convenient approach to obtain the local existence,  $L^1$ -estimate and  $L^p$ -estimate ( $p > 2$ ) for the solutions to the Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2). Here “ $*$ ” denotes the convolution with respect to the space variable  $x$ . As it is well-known [6,15,22], the scalar equation is equivalent to the system (1.1). In one space dimension ( $a = \frac{1}{2}$ ), Eq. (1.5) was also studied in [21,16,15,26,3].

In the multi-dimensional case, Francesco [2] obtained the global well-posedness of the system (1.1) and analyzed the relaxation limits. Recently, for the Cauchy problem of a model system of the radiating gas in two space dimensions, Gao and Zhu [4] investigated the asymptotic decay rates toward the planar rarefaction waves based on  $L^2$ -energy method. More recently, based on  $L^p$ -energy method, Gao, Ruan and Zhu [5] studied decay rates to the planar rarefaction waves for the  $n$ -dimensional model system of the radiating gas for  $n = 3, 4, 5$ . In addition, there are a lot of related works concerning the stability of rarefaction waves, viscous shock waves and diffusion waves for viscous conservation laws and other system, we refer to [9,10,17,18,20,25,27,29] and references therein.

In this paper, for simplicity, without loss of generality, we choose  $a = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  in (1.1). That is, we will consider the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \sum_{i=1}^n uu_{x_i} + \operatorname{div} q = 0, \\ -\nabla \operatorname{div} q + q + \nabla u = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

with initial data

$$u(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) = u_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow u_{\pm}, \quad x_1 \rightarrow \pm\infty. \quad (1.7)$$

Besides the equivalent system (1.5), we fortunately find that the system (1.6) can be transformed into the following high-order scalar equation of conservation law from  $(1.6)_1 - (\Delta(1.6)_1 + \operatorname{div}(1.6)_2)$

$$u_t + \sum_{i=1}^n uu_{x_i} - \Delta u_t - \Delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^n uu_{x_i} \right) - \Delta u = 0, \quad (1.8)$$

which will make calculations more simple and direct when we obtain  $L^2$ -estimates, especially high-order  $L^2$ -estimates.

In what follows, we will claim that the system (1.6) is truly equivalent to Eq. (1.8). In fact, first, let  $(u, q)(x, t)$  be a solution to (1.6). Then it is easy to verify  $u(x, t)$  is a solution of (1.8) by  $(1.6)_1 - (\Delta(1.6)_1 + \operatorname{div}(1.6)_2)$ . On the other hand, let  $u(x, t)$  be a solution of (1.8). Define

$$q = -\nabla u_t - \nabla \left( \sum_{i=1}^n uu_{x_i} \right) - \nabla u.$$

Then

$$\operatorname{div} q = -\Delta u_t - \Delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^n uu_{x_i} \right) - \Delta u.$$

This and (1.8) imply  $(1.6)_1$ . Furthermore

$$-\nabla \operatorname{div} q + q + \nabla u = -\nabla \left\{ u_t + \sum_{i=1}^n uu_{x_i} - \Delta u_t - \Delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^n uu_{x_i} \right) - \Delta u \right\} = 0.$$

Thus  $(u, q)(x, t)$  is a solution to (1.6). These show that the system (1.6) is truly equivalent to Eq. (1.8).

**Notations.** Hereafter, we denote all positive constants by only  $C$  without confusion. For function spaces,  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote usual Lebesgue spaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  respectively with its norm  $\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p dx)^{1/p}$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and  $\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|$ . We also write  $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = |\cdot|_p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .  $H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the usual  $k$ -th order Sobolev spaces with its norm  $\|f\|_k = \|f\|_{H^k} = (\sum_{i=0}^k |\nabla^i f|_2^2)^{1/2}$  and  $\|f\|_{W^{k,p}} = (\sum_{i=0}^k |\nabla^i f|_p^p)^{1/p}$  respectively. For simplicity,  $|f(\cdot, t)|_p$ ,  $\|f(\cdot, t)\|_k$  and  $\|f(\cdot, t)\|_{W^{k,p}}$  are denoted by  $|f(t)|_p$ ,  $\|f(t)\|_k$  and  $\|f(t)\|_{W^{k,p}}$  respectively. When  $f = f(x)$  and  $k = k_1 + \dots + k_n$ , we also use symbols:  $\nabla^k f = (\partial_{x_1}^{k_1} f, \partial_{x_1}^{(k_1-1)} \partial_{x_2} f, \dots, \partial_{x_1}^{k_1} \dots \partial_{x_n}^{k_n} f, \dots, \partial_{x_{n-1}} \partial_{x_n}^{(k-1)} f, \partial_{x_n}^k f)$ ,  $\nabla^0 f = f$ .

Now, we will state our main results. First, for the case with the same end states  $u_- = u_+ = 0$ , we have the following results for the Cauchy problem (1.6), (1.7).

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $u_- = u_+ = 0$ ,  $u_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3$ ) and  $\|u_0\|_3$  be sufficiently small. Then the Cauchy problem (1.6), (1.7) admits a unique global solution  $(u, q)(x, t)$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in C^0([0, \infty); H^3(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \nabla u \in L^2([0, \infty); H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)), \\ q &\in C^0([0, \infty); H^4(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^2([0, \infty); H^4(\mathbb{R}^n)). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.2.** Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1.1, furthermore, if  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then the global solution  $u(x, t)$  obtained in Theorem 1.1 satisfies the following decay estimates for  $t \geq 0$ :

$$\begin{cases} |u(t)|_p \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})}, & 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \\ |\nabla u(t)|_p \leq \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}}, & 2 \leq p \leq \infty, n=1, \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{(3n+4)p-2n}{8p}}, & 2 \leq p \leq \infty, n=2, 3. \end{cases} \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

**Remark 1.1.** In (1.9), when  $n = 1$ , the decay rate on  $\|u(t)\|_{W^{1,p}}$  ( $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) is optimal.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $u_- = u_+ = 0$ ,  $u_0 \in (L^1 \cap H^3 \cap W^{3,4})(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $3 < n < 8$ ) and  $|u_0|_1 + \|u_0\|_3 + \|u_0\|_{W^{3,4}}$  be sufficiently small. Then the Cauchy problem (1.6), (1.7) admits a unique global solution  $(u, q)(x, t)$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in C^0([0, \infty); (H^3 \cap W^{3,4})(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \nabla u \in L^2([0, \infty); (H^2 \cap W^{2,4})(\mathbb{R}^n)), \\ q &\in C^0([0, \infty); (H^4 \cap W^{3,4})(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^2([0, \infty); (H^4 \cap W^{3,4})(\mathbb{R}^n)). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, the solution  $u(x, t)$  verifies the following decay estimates for  $t \geq 0$ :

$$\begin{cases} |u(t)|_p \leq \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})}, & 1 \leq p \leq 2, \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{n(3p-2)}{8p}}, & 2 \leq p \leq \infty, \end{cases} \\ |\nabla u(t)|_p \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{(5n+8)p-2n}{16p}}, & 2 \leq p \leq \infty. \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

**Theorem 1.4.** Under the same conditions of Theorem 1.2 (or Theorem 1.3), there exists an asymptotic profile  $G(x, t)$  (defined by (2.3.9)) of  $u$ , such that for  $t \geq 0$

$$|(u - G)(t)|_2 \leq \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}}, & n=1, \\ C(1+t)^{-1} \ln(1+t), & n=2, \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}+\frac{1}{2}}, & 3 \leq n < 8. \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

Secondly, we state the main theorem for the case with the different end states  $u_- < u_+$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $u_- < u_+$ ,  $z_0 - u_0^R \in (L^1 \cap H^4)(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $u_0 - z_0 \in (L^1 \cap H^3)(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $n = 2, 3$ ),  $z_0'(x_1) > 0$  and  $|z_0 - u_0^R|_1 + \|z_0 - u_0^R\|_4 + \|u_0 - z_0\|_3 + \delta_0$  be sufficiently small. Then the Cauchy problem (1.6), (1.7) admits a unique global solution  $u(x, t)$  satisfying the following decay estimate for sufficiently large  $t > 0$ :*

$$|u(\cdot, t) - u^R(\cdot/t)|_\infty \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1.12)$$

Here  $\delta_0 = u_+ - u_- > 0$ ,  $u_0^R(\cdot/t) = u^R(x_1/t)$  and  $z_0$  are defined by (3.0.2) and (3.1.1) later, respectively.

**Remark 1.2.** The decay rate (1.12) improves ones in [4] for  $n = 2$  and [5] for  $n = 3$ .

Finally, we cite the following fundamental lemma which will frequently be used later.

**Lemma 1.1.** (See [2, 19].) *The fundamental solution  $\psi(x)$  of the elliptic operator  $-\Delta + I$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) satisfies the following properties*

$$\begin{cases} \psi(x) \geq 0, & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x) dx = 1, \\ \psi(x) \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^n) & \text{for all } 1 \leq s < \frac{n}{n-2} \quad (n \geq 3). \end{cases} \quad (1.13)$$

This paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, when  $1 \leq n < 8$ , we will give the global existence and decay estimates toward the diffusion waves for the case with the same end states  $u_- = u_+ = 0$ . In Section 3, when  $n = 1, 2, 3$ , we will establish asymptotic stability toward the planar rarefaction waves for the case with the different end states  $u_- < u_+$  and the convergence rates are also obtained.

## 2. The case with the same end states $u_- = u_+ = 0$

In this section, we consider the following equivalent Cauchy problem corresponding to (1.6), (1.7) with the same end states  $u_- = u_+ = 0$ . That is

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \sum_{i=1}^n uu_{x_i} - \Delta u_t - \Delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^n uu_{x_i} \right) - \Delta u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad x_1 \rightarrow \pm\infty. \end{cases} \quad (2.0.1)$$

### 2.1. The case of $n = 1, 2, 3$

In this subsection, for the case of  $n = 1, 2, 3$ , we seek the solutions of (2.0.1) in the solution space  $X_1(0, T)$  defined by

$$X_1(0, T) = \{u \in C^0([0, T]; H^3(\mathbb{R}^n)), \nabla u \in L^2([0, T]; H^2(\mathbb{R}^n))\} \quad (2.1.1)$$

for  $0 < T \leq \infty$  under *a priori* assumptions

$$|u(t)|_\infty \leq C\varepsilon_1, \quad |\nabla u(t)|_\infty \leq C\varepsilon_1, \quad 0 < \varepsilon_1 \ll 1. \quad (2.1.2)$$

First, the local existence of solutions to the Cauchy problem (2.0.1) is stated as follows:

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose that  $u_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then there is a positive constant  $T_0$  depending on  $\|u_0\|_3$  such that the Cauchy problem (2.0.1) admits a unique solution  $u(x, t) \in X_1(0, T_0)$ .

This lemma can be proved by the following equivalent Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \sum_{i=1}^n uu_{x_i} + u - \psi * u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x). \end{cases} \quad (2.1.3)$$

The proof is omitted since the procedure is standard as in [11].

Next, in order to obtain the global existence of the solution to the Cauchy problem (2.0.1), we devote ourselves to the *a priori* estimates given by two lemmas below.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let the assumptions in Theorem 1.1 hold. Then the solution  $u(x, t)$  of (2.0.1) satisfies for  $k = 1, 2, 3$

$$\|\nabla^{k-1}u(t)\|_1^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla^k u(\tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \leq C\|u_0\|_k^2.$$

**Proof.** The proof is divided into three steps.

*Step 1* (The case with  $k = 1$ ). Multiplying (2.0.1)<sub>1</sub> by  $2u$ , we have

$$(u^2 + |\nabla u|^2)_t + 2|\nabla u|^2 - 2u\Delta\left(u \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i}\right) - 2\operatorname{div}\{u\nabla(u + u_t)\} + \frac{2}{3}\sum_{i=1}^n (u^3)_{x_i} = 0. \quad (2.1.4)$$

Since  $\nabla(fg) = g\nabla f + f\nabla g$ ,  $\operatorname{div}(f\vec{g}) = f\operatorname{div}\vec{g} + \nabla f \cdot \vec{g}$  with  $\vec{g} = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} -2u\Delta\left(u \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i}\right) &= -2\operatorname{div}\left\{u\nabla\left(u \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i}\right)\right\} + 2\nabla u \cdot \nabla\left(u \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i}\right) \\ &= -2\operatorname{div}\left\{u\nabla\left(u \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i}\right)\right\} + |\nabla u|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n (u|\nabla u|^2)_{x_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.5)$$

Substituting (2.1.5) into (2.1.4), then integrating the resulting equation over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u^2 + |\nabla u|^2) dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i} dx. \quad (2.1.6)$$

From (2.1.2), we arrive at

$$I_1 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i} dx \leq C \|\nabla u(t)\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq C\varepsilon_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx. \quad (2.1.7)$$

Substituting (2.1.7) into (2.1.6) and integrating the resulting equation over  $(0, t)$ , we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u^2 + |\nabla u|^2) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx d\tau \leq C \|u_0\|_1^2. \quad (2.1.8)$$

*Step 2* (The case with  $k = 2$ ). Multiplying (2.0.1)<sub>1</sub> by  $-2\Delta u$ , and using the calculations similar to (2.1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (|\nabla u|^2 + |\Delta u|^2)_t + 2|\Delta u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i} + 2\Delta u \Delta \left( u \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i} \right) \\ & - 2 \operatorname{div} \left\{ \left( u_t + \sum_{i=1}^n u u_{x_i} \right) \nabla u \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^n \{ u |\nabla u|^2 \}_{x_i} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.9)$$

Since  $\Delta(fg) = f\Delta g + 2\nabla f \cdot \nabla g + g\Delta f$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Delta u \Delta \left( u \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i} \right) &= 2\Delta u \sum_{i=1}^n (u_{x_i} \Delta u + 2\nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{x_i} + u \Delta u_{x_i}) \\ &= |\Delta u|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i} + 4\Delta u \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \{ u |\Delta u|^2 \}_{x_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.10)$$

Substituting (2.1.10) into (2.1.9), then integrating the resulting equation over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\Delta u|^2) dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx \\ &= I_1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Delta u|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i} dx - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta u \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{x_i} dx = \sum_{i=1}^3 I_i. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.11)$$

From (2.1.2), we arrive at

$$I_2 \leq C \|\nabla u(t)\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx \leq C\varepsilon_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx. \quad (2.1.12)$$

Direct calculations show

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta u|^2 &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i x_i} \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i x_i}^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} u_{x_i x_i} u_{x_j x_j} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i x_i}^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} u_{x_i x_j}^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [(u_{x_i} u_{x_j x_j})_{x_i} - (u_{x_i} u_{x_i x_j})_{x_j}] \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{x_i x_j}^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [(u_{x_i} u_{x_j x_j})_{x_i} - (u_{x_i} u_{x_i x_j})_{x_j}], \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.13)$$

which means

$$|\Delta u(t)|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{x_i x_j}^2 dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^2 u|^2 dx = |\nabla^2 u(t)|_2^2. \quad (2.1.14)$$

(2.1.2) and (2.1.14) imply

$$I_3 \leq 2|\nabla u(t)|_\infty \sum_{i=1}^n (|\nabla u_{x_i}(t)|_2^2 + |\Delta u(t)|_2^2) \leq C\varepsilon_1 |\Delta u(t)|_2^2. \quad (2.1.15)$$

Substituting (2.1.12) and (2.1.15) into (2.1.11), then integrating the resulting equation over  $(0, t)$ , we get by (2.1.7) and (2.1.8)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\Delta u|^2) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx d\tau \leq C\|u_0\|_2^2. \quad (2.1.16)$$

(2.1.14) and (2.1.16) yield

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|^2) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^2 u|^2 dx d\tau \leq C\|u_0\|_2^2. \quad (2.1.17)$$

*Step 3* (The case with  $k=3$ ). Multiplying  $\Delta(2.0.1)_1$  by  $2\Delta u$  and using (2.1.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (|\Delta u|^2 + |\nabla(\Delta u)|^2)_t + 2|\nabla(\Delta u)|^2 + |\Delta u|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i} + 4\Delta u \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{x_i} \\ & - 2\Delta u \Delta^2 \left( u \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i} \right) - 2 \operatorname{div} \{ \Delta u \nabla(\Delta u + \Delta u_t) \} + \sum_{i=1}^n \{ u |\Delta u|^2 \}_{x_i} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.18)$$

Rewrite the fifth term on the left-hand side of (2.1.18) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} -2\Delta u \Delta^2 \left( u \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i} \right) &= -2\Delta u \Delta \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (u_{x_i} \Delta u + 2\nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{x_i} + u \Delta u_{x_i}) \right\} \\ &= K_1 + K_2 + K_3. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.19)$$

Further rewrite  $K_1, K_2$  and  $K_3$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= -2 \operatorname{div} \left\{ \Delta u \nabla \left( \Delta u \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i} \right) \right\} + 2 \nabla(\Delta u) \cdot \nabla \left( \Delta u \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i} \right) \\ &= -2 \operatorname{div} \left\{ \Delta u \nabla \left( \Delta u \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i} \right) \right\} + 2 |\nabla(\Delta u)|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i} + 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{x_i x_j} \Delta u \Delta u_{x_i}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.20)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
K_2 &= -2 \operatorname{div} \left\{ \Delta u \nabla \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (|\nabla u|^2)_{x_i} \right) \right\} + 2 \nabla(\Delta u) \cdot \nabla \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (|\nabla u|^2)_{x_i} \right) \\
&= -2 \operatorname{div} \left\{ \Delta u \nabla \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (|\nabla u|^2)_{x_i} \right) \right\} + 4 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n (u_{x_i x_k} u_{x_j x_k} + u_{x_k} u_{x_i x_j x_k}) \Delta u_{x_j}, \quad (2.1.21)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
K_3 &= -2 \operatorname{div} \left\{ \Delta u \nabla \left( u \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta u_{x_i} \right) \right\} + 2 \nabla(\Delta u) \cdot \nabla \left( u \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta u_{x_i} \right) \\
&= -2 \operatorname{div} \left\{ \Delta u \nabla \left( u \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta u_{x_i} \right) \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^n \{ u |\nabla(\Delta u)|^2 \}_{x_i} \\
&\quad - |\nabla(\Delta u)|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i} + 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{x_j} \Delta u_{x_i} \Delta u_{x_j}. \quad (2.1.22)
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.1.19)–(2.1.22) into (2.1.18), then integrating the resulting equation over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\Delta u|^2 + |\nabla(\Delta u)|^2) dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(\Delta u)|^2 dx = \sum_{i=2}^6 I_i, \quad (2.1.23)$$

where  $I_2$  and  $I_3$  are defined by (2.1.11) and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(\Delta u)|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i} dx, \quad I_5 = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (u_{x_i x_j} \Delta u + u_{x_j} \Delta u_{x_j}) \Delta u_{x_i} dx, \\
I_6 &= -4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n (u_{x_i x_k} u_{x_j x_k} + u_{x_k} u_{x_i x_j x_k}) \Delta u_{x_j} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.1.2), we obtain

$$I_4 \leq C |\nabla u(t)|_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(\Delta u)|^2 dx \leq C \varepsilon_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(\Delta u)|^2 dx. \quad (2.1.24)$$

Next, we estimate  $I_5$  and  $I_6$ , which are reduced to the terms  $I_7 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{x_{i_1} x_{i_2}} u_{x_{j_1} x_{j_2}} u_{x_{k_1} x_{k_2} x_{k_3}} dx$  and  $I_8 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{x_{i_1}} u_{x_{j_1} x_{j_2} x_{j_3}} u_{x_{k_1} x_{k_2} x_{k_3}} dx$ , where  $i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2, j_3, k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

By the Cauchy and Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities,  $I_7$  is bounded as follows:

$$I_7 \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^3 u|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^2 u|^4 dx \leq \left( \frac{1}{8} + C \varepsilon_1 \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^3 u|^2 dx. \quad (2.1.25)$$

In addition, it is easy to get

$$I_8 \leq C |\nabla u(t)|_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u_{x_{j_1} x_{j_2} x_{j_3}}^2 + u_{x_{k_1} x_{k_2} x_{k_3}}^2) dx \leq C \varepsilon_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^3 u|^2 dx. \quad (2.1.26)$$

Substituting (2.1.24)–(2.1.26) into (2.1.23), then integrating the resulting equation over  $(0, t)$ , we obtain by (2.1.12), (2.1.15) and (2.1.16)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\Delta u|^2 + |\nabla(\Delta u)|^2) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(\Delta u)|^2 dx d\tau \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + C\varepsilon_1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^3 u|^2 dx + C\|u_0\|_3^2. \quad (2.1.27)$$

Direct calculations show

$$|\nabla(\Delta u)|^2 = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n u_{x_i x_j x_k}^2 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [(u_{x_i x_k} u_{x_j x_j x_k})_{x_i} - (u_{x_i x_k} u_{x_i x_j x_k})_{x_j}],$$

which means

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(\Delta u)|^2 dx = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{x_i x_j x_k}^2 dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^3 u|^2 dx. \quad (2.1.28)$$

(2.1.27) and (2.1.28) imply

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla^3 u|^2) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^3 u|^2 dx d\tau \leq C\|u_0\|_3^2. \quad (2.1.29)$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

With the help of Lemma 2.2, we can give the *a priori* estimates on  $q(x, t)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let the assumptions in Theorem 1.1 hold. Then the solution  $q(x, t)$  of (1.6), (1.7) satisfies for  $l = 1, 2, 3$*

$$\|q(t)\|_{l+1} \leq C\|u_0\|_l. \quad (2.1.30)$$

**Proof.** The proof is divided into four steps.

*Step 1.* Integrating the resulting equation from  $q \cdot (1.6)_2$  over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} q \cdot \nabla \operatorname{div} q dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |q|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} q \cdot \nabla u dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |q|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

By using the divergence theorem, we get

$$2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\operatorname{div} q|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |q|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx,$$

which together with (2.1.8) implies  $\|q(t)\|_1 \leq C\|u_0\|_1$ .

*Step 2.* Integrating the resulting equation from  $-\nabla \operatorname{div} q \cdot (1.6)_2$  over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , by using divergence theorem and the Cauchy inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \operatorname{div} q|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\operatorname{div} q|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla \operatorname{div} q \cdot \nabla u dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \operatorname{div} q|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies by (2.1.8)  $\|q(t)\|_2 \leq C\|u_0\|_1$ .

*Step 3.* Integrating the resulting equation from  $-\Delta \operatorname{div} q \times \operatorname{div}(1.6)_2$  over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Delta \operatorname{div} q|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\Delta \operatorname{div} q) \operatorname{div} q dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\Delta \operatorname{div} q) \Delta u dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \{ \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{div} q \nabla \operatorname{div} q) - |\nabla \operatorname{div} q|^2 \} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\Delta \operatorname{div} q) \Delta u dx. \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy inequality, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Delta \operatorname{div} q|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \operatorname{div} q|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Delta \operatorname{div} q|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Delta u|^2 dx,$$

which implies by (2.1.16)  $\|q(t)\|_3 \leq C\|u_0\|_2$ .

*Step 4.* Integrating the resulting equation from  $-\nabla(\Delta \operatorname{div} q) \cdot \nabla \operatorname{div}(1.6)_2$  over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then using divergence theorem and the Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(\Delta \operatorname{div} q)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Delta \operatorname{div} q|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla(\Delta \operatorname{div} q) \cdot \nabla(\Delta u) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(\Delta \operatorname{div} q)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(\Delta u)|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies by (2.1.27) and (2.1.28)  $\|q(t)\|_4 \leq C\|u_0\|_3$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3.  $\square$

Combination of Lemmas 2.1–2.3 proves Theorem 1.1. What's more, by the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality, we can easily show that (2.1.2) is always true provided  $\|u_0\|_3$  is sufficiently small.

Now we turn to prove Theorem 1.2.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** First, as in [12,14],  $u(x, t)$  satisfies the estimate  $|u(t)|_1 \leq |u_0|_1$  and the proof can be found in [5]. The rest proof is divided into four steps.

*Step 1.* Multiplying (2.1.6) by  $(1+t)^\alpha$ , then integrating the resulting equation over  $(0, t)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1+t)^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u^2 + |\nabla u|^2) dx &+ 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^\alpha |\nabla u|^2 dx d\tau \\ &= \|u_0\|_1^2 + \alpha \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\alpha-1} u^2 dx d\tau + \alpha \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\alpha-1} |\nabla u|^2 dx d\tau + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^\alpha I_1 d\tau \\ &= \|u_0\|_1^2 + I_9 + I_{10} + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^\alpha I_1 d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.31}$$

First, by the Gagliardo–Nirenberg and Young inequalities, we get for  $\alpha > \frac{n}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} I_9 &\leq C \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha-1} |\nabla u(\tau)|_2^{\frac{2n}{2+n}} |u(\tau)|_1^{\frac{4}{2+n}} d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^\alpha |\nabla u(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau + C \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha-1-\frac{n}{2}} |u(\tau)|_1^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^\alpha |\nabla u(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau + C |u_0|_1^2 (1+t)^{\alpha-\frac{n}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.132)$$

Secondly, for sufficiently large  $t > 0$ , we choose  $T \leq t$  such that  $\alpha(1+T)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}$  and divide the integral  $I_{10}$  into two parts corresponding to  $[0, T]$  and  $[T, t]$  respectively. Then we obtain

$$\alpha \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\alpha-1} |\nabla u|^2 dx d\tau \leq \alpha(1+T)^{\alpha-1} \int_0^t |\nabla u(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau \leq C \|u_0\|_1^2 \quad (2.133)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \int_T^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\alpha-1} |\nabla u|^2 dx d\tau &\leq \alpha(1+T)^{-1} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^\alpha |\nabla u(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^\alpha |\nabla u(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.134)$$

(2.133) and (2.134) show that

$$I_{10} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^\alpha |\nabla u(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau + C \|u_0\|_1^2. \quad (2.135)$$

Finally, from (2.12) we have

$$\int_0^t (1+\tau)^\alpha I_1 d\tau \leq C \varepsilon_1 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^\alpha |\nabla u|^2 dx d\tau. \quad (2.136)$$

Substituting (2.132), (2.135) and (2.136) into (2.131), it follows that for  $\alpha > \frac{n}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} (1+t)^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u^2 + |\nabla u|^2) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^\alpha |\nabla u|^2 dx d\tau \\ \leq C \|u_0\|_1^2 + C |u_0|_1^2 (1+t)^{\alpha-\frac{n}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.137)$$

Since  $\alpha > \frac{n}{2}$  in (2.1.37), we get the following decay rate

$$\|u(t)\|_1 \leq C(|u_0|_1 + \|u_0\|_1)(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}}. \quad (2.1.38)$$

*Step 2.* Multiplying (2.1.11) by  $(1+t)^{\alpha+1}$ , then integrating the resulting equation over  $(0, t)$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} (1+t)^{\alpha+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\Delta u|^2) dx + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\alpha+1} |\Delta u|^2 dx d\tau \\ = \|\nabla u_0\|_1^2 + I_{11} + I_{12} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha+1} I_i d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.39)$$

where

$$I_{11} = (\alpha+1) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^\alpha |\nabla u|^2 dx d\tau, \quad I_{12} = (\alpha+1) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^\alpha |\Delta u|^2 dx d\tau.$$

First, we have from (2.1.37) that

$$I_{11} \leq C\|u_0\|_1^2 + C|u_0|_1^2(1+t)^{\alpha-\frac{n}{2}}. \quad (2.1.40)$$

Also, in a similar manner to (2.1.35), we get

$$I_{12} \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha+1} |\Delta u(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau + C\|u_0\|_2^2. \quad (2.1.41)$$

By integration-by-parts, the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality and (2.1.37)–(2.1.38), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha+1} I_1 d\tau &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\alpha+1} u \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{x_i} dx d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha+1} |\Delta u(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau + C\|u_0\|_2^2 + C|u_0|_1^2(1+t)^{\alpha-\frac{n}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.42)$$

One easily gets by (2.1.2)

$$\sum_{i=2}^3 \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha+1} I_i d\tau \leq C\varepsilon_1 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\alpha+1} |\Delta u|^2 dx d\tau. \quad (2.1.43)$$

Substituting (2.1.40)–(2.1.43) into (2.1.39), it follows that for  $\alpha > \frac{n}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1+t)^{\alpha+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\Delta u|^2) dx + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha+1} |\Delta u(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau \\
& \leq C \|u_0\|_2^2 + C |u_0|_1^2 (1+t)^{\alpha-\frac{n}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1.44}$$

Since  $\alpha > \frac{n}{2}$  in (2.1.44), we get the following decay rate by (2.1.14)

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_1 \leq C(|u_0|_1 + \|u_0\|_2)(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.1.45}$$

*Step 3.* Multiplying (2.1.23) by  $(1+t)^{\alpha+2}$ , then integrating the resulting equation over  $(0, t)$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1+t)^{\alpha+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\Delta u|^2 + |\nabla(\Delta u)|^2) dx + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\alpha+2} |\nabla(\Delta u)|^2 dx d\tau \\
& = \|\Delta u_0\|_1^2 + I_{13} + I_{14} + \sum_{i=2}^6 \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha+2} I_i d\tau,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1.46}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{13} &= (\alpha+2) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\alpha+1} |\Delta u|^2 dx d\tau, \\
I_{14} &= (\alpha+2) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\alpha+1} |\nabla(\Delta u)|^2 dx d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.1.44) we have that

$$I_{13} \leq C \|u_0\|_2^2 + C |u_0|_1^2 (1+t)^{\alpha-\frac{n}{2}}. \tag{2.1.47}$$

Similar to the line of (2.1.35), we get from (2.1.27) and (2.1.28)

$$I_{14} \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha+2} |\nabla(\Delta u)(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau + C \|u_0\|_3^2. \tag{2.1.48}$$

In order to estimate  $\sum_{i=2}^3 \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha+2} I_i d\tau$ , we claim by (2.1.38) and (2.1.45)

$$|u(t)|_\infty^2 \leq \begin{cases} C |u(t)|_2 |u_{x_1}(t)|_2 \leq C(|u_0|_1 + \|u_0\|_2)^2 (1+t)^{-1}, & n=1, \\ |u(t)|_2^{2-\frac{n}{2}} |\nabla^2 u(t)|_2^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq C(|u_0|_1 + \|u_0\|_2)^2 (1+t)^{-\frac{3n}{4}}, & n=2, 3. \end{cases} \tag{2.1.49}$$

By integration-by-parts and the Cauchy inequality, we obtain from (2.1.49)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=2}^3 \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha+2} I_i d\tau \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha+2} |\nabla(\Delta u)(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau + C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\alpha+2} |u|^2 |\Delta u|^2 dx d\tau \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha+2} |\nabla(\Delta u)(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau + C \|u_0\|_1^2 + C |u_0|_1^2 (1+t)^{\alpha-\frac{n}{2}}. \tag{2.150}
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.1.2), we have

$$\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha+2} I_4 d\tau \leq C \varepsilon_1 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\alpha+2} |\nabla(\Delta u)|^2 dx d\tau. \tag{2.151}$$

To estimate  $\sum_{i=5}^6 \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha+2} I_i d\tau$ , it is sufficient to estimate the following terms:

$$C \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha+2} (I_7 + I_8) d\tau \leq \left( \frac{1}{8} + C \varepsilon_1 \right) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\alpha+2} |\nabla(\Delta u)|^2 dx d\tau, \tag{2.152}$$

where we used (2.1.25) and (2.1.26).

Substituting (2.1.47), (2.1.48) and (2.1.50)–(2.1.52) into (2.1.46), we have for  $\alpha > \frac{n}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1+t)^{\alpha+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\Delta u|^2 + |\nabla(\Delta u)|^2) + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha+2} |\nabla(\Delta u)(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau \\
& \leq C \|u_0\|_3^2 + C |u_0|_1^2 (1+t)^{\alpha-\frac{n}{2}}. \tag{2.153}
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha > \frac{n}{2}$  in (2.1.53), we get the following decay rate by (2.1.14) and (2.1.28)

$$\|\nabla^2 u(t)\|_1 \leq C(|u_0|_1 + \|u_0\|_3)(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-1}. \tag{2.154}$$

*Step 4.* By Gagliardo–Nirenberg’s inequality, (2.1.38) and (2.1.54), we have

$$|u(t)|_\infty \leq C |\nabla^2 u(t)|_2^{\frac{n}{4}} |u(t)|_2^{1-\frac{n}{4}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3. \tag{2.155}$$

By the Sobolev inequality, Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality, (2.1.45) and (2.1.54), we have

$$|\nabla u(t)|_\infty \leq \begin{cases} C |u_{x_1}(t)|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} |u_{x_1 x_1}(t)|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(1+t)^{-1}, & n = 1, \\ C |\nabla^3 u(t)|_2^{\frac{n}{4}} |\nabla u(t)|_2^{1-\frac{n}{4}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3n}{8}-\frac{1}{2}}, & n = 2, 3. \end{cases} \tag{2.156}$$

By using interpolation inequality, we have for  $n = 1, 2, 3$

$$|u(t)|_p \leq \begin{cases} C|u(t)|_2^{2(1-\frac{1}{p})}|u(t)|_1^{\frac{2}{p}-1} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})}, & 1 \leq p \leq 2, \\ C|u(t)|_\infty^{\frac{p-2}{p}}|u(t)|_2^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})}, & 2 \leq p \leq \infty, \end{cases} \quad (2.1.57)$$

and for  $2 \leq p \leq \infty$

$$|\nabla u(t)|_p \leq C|\nabla u(t)|_\infty^{\frac{p-2}{p}}|\nabla u(t)|_2^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}}, & n=1, \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{(3n+4)p-2n}{8p}}, & n=2, 3. \end{cases} \quad (2.1.58)$$

Combination of (2.1.57)–(2.1.58) shows (1.9). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** With the help of the decay estimates on  $u$ , we easily obtain the following decay estimates on  $q(x, t)$  from the proof of Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{cases} \|q(t)\|_2 \leq C|\nabla u(t)|_2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|\Delta \operatorname{div} q(t)\|_1 \leq C|\Delta u(t)|_2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-1}. \end{cases}$$

## 2.2. The case of $3 < n < 8$

In this subsection, we will prove the global existence and obtain decay rates in the solution space  $X_1(0, T)$  defined (2.1.1) for  $3 < n < 8$  under the *a priori* assumption that  $|u(t)|_{W^{1,\infty}}$  is sufficiently small. In fact, first, under the smallness assumption of  $|u(t)|_{W^{1,\infty}}$ , all decay estimates on  $u$  also hold for any  $n > 3$ . Thus, we need only to close the *a priori* assumption that  $|u(t)|_{W^{1,\infty}}$  is sufficiently small. That is, we need to prove

$$|u(t)|_\infty \leq C\varepsilon_2, \quad |\nabla u(t)|_\infty \leq C\varepsilon_2, \quad (2.2.1)$$

where  $0 < \varepsilon_2 \ll 1$ . This can be done by two methods. Either we need to obtain  $H^l(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -estimate for  $l > [\frac{n}{2}] + 1$ , or we need to obtain  $W^{3,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -estimate for  $p > \frac{n}{2}$  by the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality. Since  $H^l(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -estimate on solutions is very complicated if  $n$  is slightly larger. Consequently, in the following, we will only give  $W^{3,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -estimate for  $p > \frac{n}{2}$ . In addition, in the later proof, we require  $(n-4)p < 2n$  ( $n > 3$ ), which and  $p > \frac{n}{2}$  lead to  $p = 4$  and  $3 < n < 8$ . To do this, besides  $u_0 \in (L^1 \cap H^3)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we need to furthermore assume  $u_0 \in W^{3,4}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and set the *a priori* assumption

$$\|u(t)\|_{W^{3,4}} \leq \varepsilon_2, \quad (2.2.2)$$

which implies (2.2.1) by the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality.

Now we give the proof of Theorem 1.3 under the *a priori* assumption (2.2.2).

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** The proof is divided into five steps.

*Step 1.* Multiplying (2.1.3)<sub>1</sub> by  $|u|^2 u$ , then integrating the resulting equation over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , as in [5], we get by the Young inequality, Hausdorff–Young inequality and (2.1.38)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} |u(t)|_4^4 + |u(t)|_4^4 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^3 |\psi * u| dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} |u(t)|_4^4 + C |(\psi * u)(t)|_4^4 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{2}|u(t)|_4^4 + C(\|\psi\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}}|u(t)|_2)^4 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}|u(t)|_4^4 + C(|u_0|_1 + \|u_0\|_1)^4 \|\psi\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}}^4 (1+t)^{-n},
\end{aligned} \quad (2.2.3)$$

which implies by Lemma 1.1

$$\frac{d}{dt}|u(t)|_4^4 + |u(t)|_4^4 \leq C(|u_0|_1 + \|u_0\|_1)^4 (1+t)^{-n}. \quad (2.2.4)$$

Multiplying (2.2.4) by  $e^t$ , then integrating the resulting equation over  $(0, t)$ , we obtain

$$|u(t)|_4 \leq C(|u_0|_1 + \|u_0\|_1 + |u_0|_4)(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}}. \quad (2.2.5)$$

*Step 2.* Differentiating (2.1.3)<sub>1</sub> with respect to  $x_j$  and multiplying the resulting equation by  $|u_{x_j}|^2 u_{x_j}$ , then integrating it with respect to  $x$  over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} |u_{x_j}(t)|_4^4 + |u_{x_j}(t)|_4^4 &= -\frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{x_i} |u_{x_j}|^4 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_{x_j}|^2 u_{x_j} (\psi * u_{x_j}) dx \\
&\leq C\varepsilon_2 |u_{x_j}(t)|_4^4 + \frac{1}{2} |u_{x_j}(t)|_4^4 + C |u_{x_j}(t)|_2^4,
\end{aligned} \quad (2.2.6)$$

which implies

$$\frac{d}{dt} |u_{x_j}(t)|_4^4 + |u_{x_j}(t)|_4^4 \leq C |u_{x_j}(t)|_2^4. \quad (2.2.7)$$

Summing all estimates on (2.2.7) with respect to  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , we obtain by (2.1.45)

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\nabla u(t)|_4^4 + |\nabla u(t)|_4^4 \leq C |\nabla u(t)|_2^4 \leq C(|u_0|_1 + \|u_0\|_2 + |u_0|_4)^4 (1+t)^{-n-2}. \quad (2.2.8)$$

Using the same calculations as in (2.2.5), we obtain from (2.2.8)

$$|\nabla u(t)|_4 \leq C(|u_0|_1 + \|u_0\|_2 + \|u_0\|_{W^{1,4}})(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.2.9)$$

*Step 3.* Differentiating (2.1.3)<sub>1</sub> twice with respect to  $x_1$  and multiplying the resulting equation by  $|u_{x_1 x_1}|^2 u_{x_1 x_1}$ , then integrating it with respect to  $x$  over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} |u_{x_1 x_1}(t)|_4^4 + |u_{x_1 x_1}(t)|_4^4 &= -\frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{x_i} |u_{x_1 x_1}|^4 dx - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{x_i} |u_{x_1 x_1}|^2 u_{x_1 x_1} u_{x_1 x_i} dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_{x_1 x_1}|^2 u_{x_1 x_1} (\psi * u_{x_1 x_1}) dx \\
&\leq C\varepsilon_2 |\nabla^2 u(t)|_4^4 + \frac{1}{2} |u_{x_1 x_1}(t)|_4^4 + C |u_{x_1 x_1}(t)|_2^4,
\end{aligned} \quad (2.2.10)$$

which implies

$$\frac{d}{dt} |u_{x_1 x_1}(t)|_4^4 + |u_{x_1 x_1}(t)|_4^4 \leq C \varepsilon_2 |\nabla^2 u(t)|_4^4 + C |u_{x_1 x_1}(t)|_2^4. \quad (2.2.11)$$

Similarly, we can also get the following estimates on  $u_{x_i x_j}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ )

$$\frac{d}{dt} |u_{x_i x_j}(t)|_4^4 + |u_{x_i x_j}(t)|_4^4 \leq C \varepsilon_2 |\nabla^2 u(t)|_4^4 + C |u_{x_i x_j}(t)|_2^4. \quad (2.2.12)$$

Summing on  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  for the inequality (2.2.12), we have by (2.1.54)

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\nabla^2 u(t)|_4^4 + |\nabla^2 u(t)|_4^4 \leq C |\nabla^2 u(t)|_2^4 \leq C (|u_0|_1 + \|u_0\|_2 + |u_0|_4)^4 (1+t)^{-n-4}. \quad (2.2.13)$$

Using the same calculations as in (2.2.5), we obtain from (2.2.13)

$$|\nabla^2 u(t)|_4 \leq C (|u_0|_1 + \|u_0\|_2 + \|u_0\|_{W^{2,4}}) (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-1}. \quad (2.2.14)$$

*Step 4.* Differentiating (2.1.3)<sub>1</sub> three times with respect to  $x_1$  and multiplying the resulting equation by  $|u_{x_1 x_1 x_1}|^2 u_{x_1 x_1 x_1}$ , then integrating it with respect to  $x$  over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} |u_{x_1 x_1 x_1}(t)|_4^4 + |u_{x_1 x_1 x_1}(t)|_4^4 \\ &= -\frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{x_i} |u_{x_1 x_1 x_1}|^4 dx - 3 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{x_i} |u_{x_1 x_1 x_1}|^2 u_{x_1 x_1 x_1} u_{x_1 x_1 x_i} dx \\ & \quad - 3 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{x_1 x_1} u_{x_1 x_i} |u_{x_1 x_1 x_1}|^2 u_{x_1 x_1 x_i} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_{x_1 x_1 x_1}|^2 u_{x_1 x_1 x_1} (\psi * u_{x_1 x_1 x_1}) dx \\ &= I_{15} + I_{16} + I_{17} + I_{18}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.15)$$

Firstly, it easily follow from (2.2.1)

$$I_{15} \leq \frac{3}{4} n |\nabla u(t)|_\infty |u_{x_1 x_1 x_1}(t)|_4^4 \leq C \varepsilon_2 |u_{x_1 x_1 x_1}(t)|_4^4, \quad (2.2.16)$$

$$I_{16} \leq 3n |\nabla u(t)|_\infty |\nabla^3 u(t)|_4^4 \leq C \varepsilon_2 |\nabla^3 u(t)|_4^4. \quad (2.2.17)$$

Secondly, by using the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality and (2.2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{17} &\leq \frac{1}{4} |u_{x_1 x_1 x_1}(t)|_4^4 + C |\nabla^2 u(t)|_8^8 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} |u_{x_1 x_1 x_1}(t)|_4^4 + C |\nabla^3 u(t)|_4^n |\nabla^2 u(t)|_4^{8-n} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} |u_{x_1 x_1 x_1}(t)|_4^4 + C (|u_0|_1 + \|u_0\|_2 + \|u_0\|_{W^{2,4}})^{8-n} |\nabla^3 u(t)|_4^4. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.18)$$

Finally, we have

$$I_{18} \leq \frac{1}{4} |u_{x_1 x_1 x_1}(t)|_4^4 + C |u_{x_1 x_1 x_1}(t)|_2^4. \quad (2.2.19)$$

Substituting (2.2.16)–(2.2.19) into (2.2.15), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} |u_{x_1 x_1 x_1}(t)|_4^4 + |u_{x_1 x_1 x_1}(t)|_4^4 \\ & \leq C(\varepsilon_2 + |u_0|_1 + \|u_0\|_2 + \|u_0\|_{W^{2,4}}) |\nabla^3 u(t)|_4^4 + C |u_{x_1 x_1 x_1}(t)|_2^4. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.20)$$

We can also get similar estimates to (2.2.20) on  $u_{x_i x_j x_k}$  ( $i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Thus we have by (2.1.54)

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\nabla^3 u(t)|_4^4 + |\nabla^3 u(t)|_4^4 \leq C |\nabla^3 u(t)|_2^4 \leq C(|u_0|_1 + \|u_0\|_3 + \|u_0\|_{W^{2,4}})^4 (1+t)^{-n-4}. \quad (2.2.21)$$

Using the same calculations as in (2.2.5), we obtain from (2.2.21)

$$|\nabla^3 u(t)|_4 \leq C(|u_0|_1 + \|u_0\|_3 + \|u_0\|_{W^{3,4}}) (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-1}. \quad (2.2.22)$$

*Step 5.* By the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality, we have from (2.2.5) and (2.2.14)

$$|u(t)|_\infty \leq C |\nabla^2 u(t)|_4^{\frac{n}{8}} |u(t)|_4^{1-\frac{n}{8}} \leq C(|u_0|_1 + \|u_0\|_3 + \|u_0\|_{W^{3,4}}) (1+t)^{-\frac{3n}{8}} \quad (2.2.23)$$

and from (2.2.9) and (2.2.22)

$$|\nabla u(t)|_\infty \leq C |\nabla^3 u(t)|_4^{\frac{n}{8}} |\nabla u(t)|_4^{1-\frac{n}{8}} \leq C(|u_0|_1 + \|u_0\|_3 + \|u_0\|_{W^{3,4}}) (1+t)^{-\frac{5n+8}{16}}. \quad (2.2.24)$$

By using interpolation inequality, we have

$$|u(t)|_p \leq \begin{cases} C |u(t)|_2^{2(1-\frac{1}{p})} |u(t)|_1^{\frac{2}{p}-1} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})}, & 1 \leq p \leq 2, \\ C |u(t)|_\infty^{\frac{p-2}{p}} |u(t)|_2^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n(3p-2)}{8p}}, & 2 \leq p \leq \infty, \end{cases} \quad (2.2.25)$$

$$|\nabla u(t)|_p \leq C |\nabla u(t)|_\infty^{\frac{p-2}{p}} |\nabla u(t)|_2^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{(5n+8)p-2n}{16p}}, \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty. \quad (2.2.26)$$

Combination of (2.2.25)–(2.2.26) shows (1.10). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.  $\square$

Finally, we have to show that the *a priori* assumption (2.2.2) holds. Since, under the *a priori* assumption (2.2.2), we have proved that (2.2.5), (2.2.9), (2.2.14) and (2.2.22) hold provided  $0 < \varepsilon_2 \ll 1$ . Therefore, (2.2.2) always true provided  $|u_0|_1 + \|u_0\|_3 + \|u_0\|_{W^{3,4}}$  is sufficiently small.

### 2.3. Decay rates to diffusion waves

In order to obtain the decay rates to diffusion waves, we shall study the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} G_t - \Delta G_t - \Delta G = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, \\ G(x, 0) = \phi(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \quad (2.3.1)$$

By the Fourier transformation  $\hat{G}(\xi, t) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} G(x, t) dx$ , we have  $\hat{G}(\xi, t) = e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{1+|\xi|^2}t} \hat{\phi}(\xi)$ . As in [8], we define the semigroup  $e^{tA}$  associated with the linearized system (2.3.1) by  $G(x, t) = e^{tA} \phi := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{1+|\xi|^2}t} \hat{\phi}(\xi))$ . We will show that the following decay estimate of the semigroup  $e^{tA}$ , which plays an essential role in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $n \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 0$  be integers and  $p \in [1, 2]$ ,  $\phi \in (H^k \cap L^p)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then the semigroup  $e^{tA}$  for the linearized system (2.3.1) has the decay estimate

$$|\nabla^k e^{tA} \phi(t)|_2 \leq C \left\{ (1+t)^{-\sigma(n,p,k)} |\phi|_p + e^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+t)} |\nabla^k \phi|_2 \right\} \quad (2.3.2)$$

for any  $t \geq 0$ , where  $C = C(n, p, k)$  and  $\sigma(n, p, k) = \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{k}{2}$ .

**Proof.** By the Plancherel's theorem one has

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^k e^{tA} \phi(t)|_2^2 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2k} e^{-\frac{2|\xi|^2}{1+|\xi|^2}t} |\hat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= C \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} |\xi|^{2k} e^{-\frac{2|\xi|^2}{1+|\xi|^2}t} |\hat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + C \int_{|\xi| \geq 1} |\xi|^{2k} e^{-\frac{2|\xi|^2}{1+|\xi|^2}t} |\hat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= I_{19} + I_{20}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3.3)$$

For  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$ , one easily claims

$$I_{19} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2r}-k} |\hat{\phi}|_{2r'}^2. \quad (2.3.4)$$

By the Fourier transformation inequality  $|\hat{\phi}|_{2r'} \leq |\phi|_p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{2r'} = 1$  (see [1]), we further rewrite (2.3.4) for  $\frac{1}{2r} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}$  as follows

$$I_{19} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2r}-k} |\phi|_p^2. \quad (2.3.5)$$

In addition,  $I_{20}$  is bounded as follows:

$$I_{20} \leq C e^{-t} \int_{|\xi| \geq 1} |\xi|^{2k} |\hat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq C e^{-(1+t)} |\nabla^k \phi|_2^2. \quad (2.3.6)$$

(2.3.5) and (2.3.6) show that (2.3.2) holds. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.4.  $\square$

Next, by simple calculations, we have following results.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  be positive constants. Then

$$\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (1+t-s)^{-\beta} (1+s)^{-\gamma} ds \leq \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{-\beta}, & \gamma > 1, \\ C(1+t)^{-\beta} \ln(1+t), & \gamma = 1, \\ C(1+t)^{-(\beta+\gamma-1)}, & \gamma < 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.3.7)$$

$$\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (1+t-s)^{-\beta} (1+s)^{-\gamma} ds \leq \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{-\gamma}, & \beta > 1, \\ C(1+t)^{-\gamma} \ln(1+t), & \beta = 1, \\ C(1+t)^{-(\beta+\gamma-1)}, & \beta < 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.3.8)$$

Now, we define the time-asymptotic profile  $G = G(x, t)$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} G_t - \Delta G_t - \Delta G = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, \\ G(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \quad (2.3.9)$$

Then, the perturbation  $W = u - G$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} W_t - \Delta W_t - \Delta W = \Delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^n uu_{x_i} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n uu_{x_i}, \\ W(x, 0) = W_0(x) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.3.10)$$

In what follows, we give the proof of Theorem 1.4.

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** We rewrite (2.3.10) into an integral form by Duhamel's principle:

$$\begin{aligned} W(x, t) &= \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} \Delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^n uu_{x_i} \right)(s) ds - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} \sum_{i=1}^n (uu_{x_i})(s) ds \\ &= \left( \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \right) e^{(t-s)A} \Delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^n uu_{x_i} \right)(s) ds - \left( \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \right) e^{(t-s)A} \sum_{i=1}^n (uu_{x_i})(s) ds \\ &= (I_{21}^1 + I_{21}^2) - (I_{22}^1 + I_{22}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3.11)$$

By integration-by-parts, Holder's inequality, Lemmas 2.4, 2.5 and Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{21}^1|_2 + |I_{22}^1|_2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (|\partial_{x_i} \Delta e^{(t-s)A} u^2(s)|_2 + |\partial_{x_i} e^{(t-s)A} u^2(s)|_2) ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (1+t-s)^{-\left(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right)} |u^2(s)|_1 ds + C \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+t-s)} (|\nabla^3 u^2(s)|_2 + |\nabla u^2(s)|_2) ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (1+t-s)^{-\left(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right)} (1+s)^{-\frac{n}{2}} ds + C \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+t-s)} (1+s)^{-\left(\frac{3n}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right)} ds \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}}, & n=1, \\ C(1+t)^{-1} \ln(1+t), & n=2, \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}, & n=3, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{21}^2|_2 &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |\partial_{x_j} e^{(t-s)A} (uu_{x_i})_{x_j}(s)|_2 ds \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (1+t-s)^{-\left(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right)} |(uu_{x_i})_{x_j}(s)|_1 ds + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+t-s)} |\nabla (uu_{x_i})_{x_j}(s)|_2 ds \\ &\leq C \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (1+t-s)^{-\left(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right)} (1+s)^{-\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)} ds + C \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+t-s)} (1+s)^{-\left(\frac{3n}{4}+1\right)} ds, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_{22}^2|_2 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |e^{(t-s)A} (uu_{x_i})(s)|_2 ds \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (1+t-s)^{-\frac{n}{4}} |uu_{x_i}(s)|_1 ds + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+t-s)} |uu_{x_i}(s)|_2 ds \\
&\leq C \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (1+t-s)^{-\frac{n}{4}} (1+s)^{-(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{2})} ds + C \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+t-s)} (1+s)^{-(\frac{3n}{4}+\frac{1}{2})} ds. \quad (2.3.14)
\end{aligned}$$

(2.3.13) and (2.3.14) show

$$|I_{21}^2|_2 + |I_{22}^2|_2 \leq \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}}, & n=1, \\ C(1+t)^{-1}, & n=2, \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{7}{4}}, & n=3. \end{cases} \quad (2.3.15)$$

Substituting (2.3.12) and (2.3.15) into (2.3.11), we obtain

$$|W(t)|_2 \leq \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}}, & n=1, \\ C(1+t)^{-1} \ln(1+t), & n=2, \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}, & n=3. \end{cases} \quad (2.3.16)$$

Similar to the case of  $n=1, 2, 3$ , for the case of  $3 < n < 8$ , we have the following result

$$|W(t)|_2 \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{1}{2})}. \quad (2.3.17)$$

Combination of (2.3.16) and (2.3.17) shows (1.11). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.  $\square$

### 3. The case with different end states $u_- < u_+$

In this section, we consider the case with the different end states  $u_- < u_+$ , i.e., consider the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \sum_{i=1}^n uu_{x_i} - \Delta u_t - \Delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^n uu_{x_i} \right) - \Delta u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \rightarrow u_{\pm}, \quad x_1 \rightarrow \pm\infty, \end{cases} \quad (3.0.1)$$

where  $u_{\pm}$  are given constant states and  $u_- < u_+$ . We will study the convergence rates of the planar rarefaction wave for the Cauchy problem (3.0.1). First of all, as in [7,14,29], the rarefaction waves are defined by  $u^R(x_1/t)$ , which is the center rarefaction wave of the inviscid Burgers equation connecting the states  $u_-$  and  $u_+$ . That is,  $u^R(x_1/t)$  is a continuous weak solution to the Riemann problem for the inviscid Burgers equation with Riemann initial data

$$\begin{cases} u_t^R + u^R u_{x_1}^R = 0, \\ u^R(x_1, 0) = u_0^R(x_1) = \begin{cases} u_+, & x_1 > 0, \\ u_-, & x_1 < 0. \end{cases} \end{cases} \quad (3.0.2)$$

As in [7,14,25], we construct smooth approximate rarefaction wave  $U(x_1, t)$  satisfies for any fixed  $t_0 > 0$

$$\begin{cases} U_t + UU_{x_1} = U_{x_1 x_1}, & t > -t_0, \\ U(x_1, -t_0) = U_0^R(x_1). \end{cases} \quad (3.0.3)$$

The properties of the solution  $U(x_1, t)$  to the Cauchy problem (3.0.3) can be found in [7,14].

### 3.1. The case of $n = 1$

In this subsection, we denote the one-dimensional space invariant  $x_1$  by  $x$  without confusion for ease of notation and consider the case for  $n = 1$  in (3.0.1):

$$\begin{cases} z_t + zz_x - z_{xxt} - (zz_x)_{xx} - z_{xx} = 0, \\ z(x, 0) = z_0(x) \rightarrow u_{\pm}, \quad x \rightarrow \pm\infty. \end{cases} \quad (3.1.1)$$

Let

$$z(x, t) = w(x, t) + U(x, t). \quad (3.1.2)$$

Then (3.1.1) can be reformulated as

$$\begin{cases} w_t + (Uw)_x + ww_x - w_{xxt} - (Uw)_{xxx} - (ww_x)_{xx} - w_{xx} = \partial_x^4 U, \\ w_0(x) = z_0(x) - U_0(x). \end{cases} \quad (3.1.3)$$

We seek the solution of (3.1.3) in the solution space  $X_2(0, T)$  defined by

$$X_2(0, T) = \{w \in C^0([0, T]; H^4(\mathbb{R})), \quad w_x \in L^2([0, T]; H^3(\mathbb{R}))\}$$

for  $0 < T \leq \infty$  under the *a priori* assumption

$$\|w(t)\|_4 \leq \varepsilon_3, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty, \quad (3.1.4)$$

which means that by the Sobolev inequality

$$|w(t)|_{\infty} \leq C\varepsilon_3, \quad |w_x(t)|_{\infty} \leq C\varepsilon_3, \quad |w_{xx}(t)|_{\infty} \leq C\varepsilon_3, \quad |\partial_x^3 w(t)|_{\infty} \leq C\varepsilon_3, \quad (3.1.5)$$

where  $0 < \varepsilon_3 \ll 1$ .

First our aim is to prove the decay rates on  $w$  stated in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Assume that  $w_0 \in (L^1 \cap H^4)(\mathbb{R})$  and that  $|w_0|_1 + \|w_0\|_4 + \delta_0$  is suitably small. Then the global solution  $w(x, t)$  satisfies the decay estimates for  $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{cases} \|\partial_x^k w(t)\|_1 \leq C(\|w_0\|_{k+1} + M_k)(1+t)^{-(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{k}{2})}, & k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \\ |\partial_x^k w(t)|_{\infty} \leq C(\|w_0\|_{k+1} + M_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|w_0\|_{k+2} + M_{k+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(k+1)}, & k = 0, 1, 2, \\ |\partial_x^3 w(t)|_{\infty} \leq C(\|w_0\|_4 + M_3)(1+t)^{-\frac{7}{4}}, \end{cases} \quad (3.1.6)$$

where  $M_k = |w_0|_1(1 + |w_0|_1)^{2k} + \delta_0$ .

**Proof.** The  $L^2$ -estimates on  $w$ ,  $w_x$  and  $w_{xx}$  have been derived in [14]. Although the proof of the  $L^2$ -estimates on  $\partial_x^3 w$  and  $\partial_x^4 w$  is similar to that of  $w$ ,  $w_x$  and  $w_{xx}$ , for the completeness and reader's convenience, here we give an outline of the proof of the  $L^2$ -estimates on  $\partial_x^3 w$  and  $\partial_x^4 w$ . To this end, differentiating (3.1.3)<sub>1</sub> three times with respect to  $x$  and multiplying  $2\partial_x^3 w$ , then integrating the resulting equation over  $\mathbb{R}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ |\partial_x^3 w(t)|_2^2 + |\partial_x^4 w(t)|_2^2 \right\}_t + 2 \left| \partial_x^4 w(\tau) \right|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{ 7U_x(\partial_x^3 w)^2 + 9U_x(\partial_x^4 w)^2 \} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{ 2\partial_x^3 w \partial_x^7 U - 2w \partial_x^3 w \partial_x^4 U - 8\partial_x w \partial_x^3 w \partial_x^3 U - 8w_x(\partial_x^3 w)^2 \\ &\quad - 12U_{xx}w_{xx}\partial_x^3 w - 2w\partial_x^3 w \partial_x^4 w - 6w_{xx}^2 \partial_x^3 w - 2w\partial_x^4 w \partial_x^5 U - 10w_x \partial_x^4 w \partial_x^4 U \\ &\quad - 20w_{xx} \partial_x^4 w \partial_x^3 U - 20U_{xx} \partial_x^3 w \partial_x^4 w - 9w_x(\partial_x^4 w)^2 + 10(\partial_x^3 w)^3 \} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.7)$$

By using the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality, (3.1.4), (3.1.7) and tedious calculations, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \partial_x^3 w(t) \right|_2^2 + \left| \partial_x^4 w(t) \right|_2^2 + \int_0^t \left| \partial_x^4 w(\tau) \right|_2^2 d\tau + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{ U_x(\partial_x^3 w)^2 + U_x(\partial_x^4 w)^2 \} dx d\tau \\ &\leq C \left( \left| \partial_x^3 w_0 \right|_2^2 + \left| \partial_x^4 w_0 \right|_2^2 + \delta_0^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.8)$$

Multiplying (3.1.7) by  $(1+t)^{\nu+3}$  for any fixed  $\nu > 3$ , then integrating the resulting equation over  $(0, t)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+t)^{\nu+3} \left\| \partial_x^3 w(t) \right\|_1^2 + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\nu+3} \left\{ 2 \left| \partial_x^4 w(\tau) \right|_2^2 + 7 \left| \sqrt{U_x} \partial_x^3 w(\tau) \right|_2^2 + 9 \left| \sqrt{U_x} \partial_x^4 w(\tau) \right|_2^2 \right\} d\tau \\ &= \left\| \partial_x^3 w_0 \right\|_1^2 + J_1 + J_2 + J_3, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= (\nu+3) \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\nu+2} \left| \partial_x^3 w(\tau) \right|_2^2 d\tau, \quad J_2 = (\nu+3) \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\nu+2} \left| \partial_x^4 w(\tau) \right|_2^2 d\tau, \\ J_3 &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+\tau)^{\nu+3} \{ 2\partial_x^3 w \partial_x^7 U - 2w \partial_x^3 w \partial_x^4 U - 8\partial_x w \partial_x^3 w \partial_x^3 U - 8w_x(\partial_x^3 w)^2 \\ &\quad - 12U_{xx}w_{xx}\partial_x^3 w - 2w\partial_x^3 w \partial_x^4 w - 6w_{xx}^2 \partial_x^3 w - 2w\partial_x^4 w \partial_x^5 U - 10w_x \partial_x^4 w \partial_x^4 U \\ &\quad - 20w_{xx} \partial_x^4 w \partial_x^3 U - 20U_{xx} \partial_x^3 w \partial_x^4 w - 9w_x(\partial_x^4 w)^2 + 10(\partial_x^3 w)^3 \} dx d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

First, we can estimate  $J_1$  by the decay estimates on  $\|\partial_x^2 w(t)\|_1$  in [14]

$$J_1 \leq C \|w_0\|_3^2 + CM_2^2 (1+t)^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.1.10)$$



Secondly, by (3.1.8)  $J_2$  can be bounded as follows:

$$J_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\nu+3} |\partial_x^4 w(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau + C(\|w_0\|_4^2 + \delta_0^2). \quad (3.1.11)$$

Finally, by tedious calculations,  $J_3$  can be estimated as follows:

$$J_3 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\nu+3} |\partial_x^4 w(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau + C\|w_0\|_4^2 + CM_3^2(1+t)^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.1.12)$$

Substituting (3.1.10)–(3.1.12) into (3.1.9), together with the results in [14], we obtain (3.1.6)<sub>1</sub>. By the Sobolev inequality and (3.1.6)<sub>1</sub>, one easily gets (3.1.6)<sub>2</sub> and (3.1.6)<sub>3</sub>. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

By (3.1.2), Lemma 3.1 and the properties on  $U(x, t)$ , we have the following estimates which will be used in the next section.

**Lemma 3.2.** *The solution  $z(x, t)$  to the Cauchy problem (3.1.1) satisfies*

$$|\partial_x^k z(t)|_2 \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{k}{2})}, \quad k=2, 3, \quad (3.1.13)$$

$$\begin{cases} |\partial_x z(t)|_2 \leq C(\|w_0\|_2 + M_1 + \delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}})(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\ |\partial_x^k z(t)|_2 \leq C(\|w_0\|_{k+1} + M_k + \delta_0)(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(k-\frac{1}{2})}, \quad k=2, 3, \\ |\partial_x^4 z(t)|_2 \leq C(\|w_0\|_4 + M_3 + \delta_0)(1+t)^{-\frac{7}{4}}, \end{cases} \quad (3.1.14)$$

$$\begin{cases} |\partial_x z(t)|_\infty \leq C(1+t)^{-1}, \\ |\partial_x^2 z(t)|_\infty \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \end{cases} \quad (3.1.15)$$

$$\begin{cases} |\partial_x z(t)|_\infty \leq C(\|w_0\|_2 + M_1 + \delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+t)^{-\frac{7}{8}}, \\ |\partial_x^2 z(t)|_\infty \leq C(\|w_0\|_3 + M_2 + \delta_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}, \\ |\partial_x^3 z(t)|_\infty \leq C(\|w_0\|_4 + M_3 + \delta_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+t)^{-\frac{7}{4}}. \end{cases} \quad (3.1.16)$$

As expected, if we assume that  $z'_0(x) > 0$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the solution  $z(x, t)$  of (3.1.1) is a strictly increasing function of  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , which will play an important role in next subsection. As in [4], this can be shown by using the maximum principle, cf. [28].

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose that  $z_0(x)$  is monotonically increasing, i.e.,  $z'_0(x) > 0$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the solution  $z(x, t)$  of (3.1.1) satisfies  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} z(x, t) > 0$ ,  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$ .*

### 3.2. The case of $n = 2, 3$

In this subsection, as in [4,12,20,25,27], to consider the  $n$ -dimensional ( $n = 2$  or  $3$ ) Cauchy problem corresponding to Cauchy problem (3.0.1), we introduce  $v(x, t)$  as the perturbation from the planar wave  $z(x_1, t)$  and set

$$u(x, t) = z(x_1, t) + v(x, t). \quad (3.2.1)$$

Then, when  $n = 2, 3$ , the Cauchy problem (3.0.1) is transformed to

$$\begin{cases} v_t + v \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_i} - \Delta v_t - \Delta \left( v \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_i} \right) - \Delta v + \sum_{i=1}^n (zv)_{x_i} - \Delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (zv)_{x_i} \right) = 0, \\ v(x, 0) \equiv v_0(x) = u_0(x) - z_0(x_1) \rightarrow 0, \quad x_1 \rightarrow \pm\infty. \end{cases} \quad (3.2.2)$$

Now we give the proof of Theorem 1.5 under the *a priori* assumptions

$$|v(t)|_{\infty} \leq C\varepsilon_4, \quad |\nabla v(t)|_{\infty} \leq C\varepsilon_4, \quad 0 < \varepsilon_4 \ll 1. \quad (3.2.3)$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.5.** First,  $v(x, t)$  satisfies the estimate  $|v(t)|_1 \leq |v_0|_1$  and the proof can be found in [5]. In the rest proof, we only devoted ourself to estimating the terms involving  $z$  or derivatives of  $z$ . The other terms  $\bar{J}_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 6$ ) are estimated in the same computations as those in Section 2.

*Step 1.* Multiplying (3.2.2)<sub>1</sub> by  $2v$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (v^2 + |\nabla v|^2)_t + z_{x_1} v^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2 - 2v \Delta \left( v \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_i} \right) - 2v \Delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (zv)_{x_i} \right) \\ & - 2 \operatorname{div} \{ v(\nabla v + \nabla v_t) \} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{2}{3} v^3 + zv^2 \right)_{x_i} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.4)$$

Rewrite the fifth term on the left-hand side of (3.2.4) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} -2v \Delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (zv)_{x_i} \right) &= -2 \operatorname{div} \left\{ v \nabla \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (zv)_{x_i} \right) \right\} + 2 \nabla v \cdot \nabla \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (zv)_{x_i} \right) \\ &= -2 \operatorname{div} \left\{ v \nabla \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (zv)_{x_i} \right) \right\} + 2z_{x_1} v_{x_1} \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_i} + 2z_{x_1 x_1} v v_{x_1} \\ &\quad + z_{x_1} |\nabla v|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (z |\nabla v|^2)_{x_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.5)$$

Substituting (3.2.5) into (3.2.4) and integrating the resulting equation over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (v^2 + |\nabla v|^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} z_{x_1} (v^2 + |\nabla v|^2) dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla v|^2 dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla v|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_i} dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} z_{x_1} v_{x_1} \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_i} dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} z_{x_1 x_1} v v_{x_1} dx = \bar{J}_1 + \sum_{i=4}^5 J_i. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.6)$$

First, we have

$$J_4 \leq C |z_{x_1}(t)|_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla v|^2 dx. \quad (3.2.7)$$

Secondly, by the Gagliardo–Nirenberg and Young inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_5 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v_{x_1}^2 dx + C |z_{x_1 x_1}(t)|_\infty^2 |v(t)|_2^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla v|^2 dx + C |z_{x_1 x_1}(t)|_\infty^2 |\nabla v(t)|_2^{\frac{2n}{2+n}} |v(t)|_1^{\frac{4}{2+n}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla v|^2 dx + C |z_{x_1 x_1}(t)|_\infty^{2(1+\frac{n}{2})} |v_0|_1^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.8}$$

Substituting (3.2.7) and (3.2.8) into (3.2.6), then integrating the resulting equation over  $(0, t)$ , and using the estimates on  $\bar{J}_1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v(t)\|_1^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \{|\nabla v|^2 + z_{x_1}(v^2 + |\nabla v|^2)\} dx d\tau \\
&\leq C(\|v_0\|_1^2 + \|w_0\|_3^2 + M_2^2 + \delta_0^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.9}$$

Multiplying (3.2.6) by  $(1+t)^\beta$ , then integrating the resulting equation over  $(0, t)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1+t)^\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (v^2 + |\nabla v|^2) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^\beta \{2|\nabla v|^2 + z_{x_1}(v^2 + |\nabla v|^2)\} dx d\tau \\
&= \|v_0\|_1^2 + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^\beta J_4 d\tau + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^\beta J_5 d\tau + \bar{J}_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.10}$$

where

$$\bar{J}_2 = \beta \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\beta-1} (v^2 + |\nabla v|^2) dx d\tau - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^\beta |\nabla v|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_i} dx d\tau.$$

By (3.2.3) and (3.1.15), we obtain

$$\int_0^t (1+\tau)^\beta J_4 d\tau \leq C |z_{x_1}(t)|_\infty \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^\beta |\nabla v|^2 dx d\tau, \tag{3.2.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t (1+\tau)^\beta J_5 d\tau &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^\beta v_{x_1}^2 dx d\tau + C \int_0^t (1+\tau)^\beta |z_{x_1 x_1}(\tau)|_\infty^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^2 dx d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^\beta |\nabla v|^2 dx d\tau + C(1+t)^{\beta-\frac{n}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.12}$$

Substituting (3.2.11) and (3.2.12) into (3.2.10), using the estimate on  $\bar{J}_2$ , we have for  $\beta > \frac{n}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1+t)^\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (v^2 + |\nabla v|^2) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^\beta \{ |\nabla v|^2 + z_{x_1} (v^2 + |\nabla v|^2) \} dx d\tau \\
& \leq C(1 + (1+t)^{\beta-\frac{n}{2}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.13}$$

Consequently, since  $\beta > \frac{n}{2}$  in (3.2.13) we get

$$\|v(t)\|_1 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}}. \tag{3.2.14}$$

Step 2. Multiplying (3.2.2)<sub>1</sub> by  $-2\Delta v$ , similar to the calculations of (3.2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (|\nabla v|^2 + |\Delta v|^2)_t + 2|\Delta v|^2 + z_{x_1} |\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_i} + 2z_{x_1} v_{x_1} \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_i} + 2z_{x_1 x_1} v v_{x_1} \\
& + 2\Delta v \Delta \left( v \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_i} \right) + 2\Delta v \Delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (zv)_{x_i} \right) \\
& - 2 \operatorname{div} \left\{ \left( v_t + \sum_{i=1}^n v v_{x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n (zv)_{x_i} \right) \nabla v \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^n \{ (z+v) |\nabla v|^2 \}_{x_i} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.15}$$

Rewrite the eighth term on the left-hand side of (3.2.15) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
2\Delta v \Delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (zv)_{x_i} \right) &= z_{x_1} |\Delta v|^2 + 2z_{x_1 x_1} \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_i} \Delta v + 4z_{x_1} \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_1 x_i} \Delta v \\
&+ 4z_{x_1 x_1} v_{x_1} \Delta v + 2z_{x_1 x_1 x_1} v \Delta v + \sum_{i=1}^n \{ z |\Delta v|^2 \}_{x_i}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.16}$$

Substituting (3.2.16) into (3.2.15), then integrating the resulting equation over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla v|^2 + |\Delta v|^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \{ 2|\Delta v|^2 + z_{x_1} (|\nabla v|^2 + |\Delta v|^2) \} dx = \sum_{i=4}^9 J_i + \bar{J}_3, \tag{3.2.17}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} J_6 = -4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} z_{x_1} \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_1 x_i} \Delta v dx, & J_7 = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} z_{x_1 x_1} \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_i} \Delta v dx, \\ J_8 = -4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} z_{x_1 x_1} v_{x_1} \Delta v dx, & J_9 = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} z_{x_1 x_1 x_1} v \Delta v dx, \\ \bar{J}_3 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla v|^2 + |\Delta v|^2) \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_i} dx - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta v \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla v \cdot \nabla v_{x_i} dx. \end{cases} \tag{3.2.18}$$

By the Cauchy inequality, the terms in (3.2.18) can be estimated as follows:

$$J_6 + J_7 \leq C |z_{x_1}(t)|_\infty |\Delta v(t)|_2^2 + C |z_{x_1 x_1}(t)|_\infty (|\nabla v(t)|_2^2 + |\Delta v(t)|_2^2), \quad (3.2.19)$$

$$J_8 \leq C |z_{x_1 x_1}(t)|_\infty (|v_{x_1}(t)|_2^2 + |\Delta v(t)|_2^2) \quad (3.2.20)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J_9 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Delta v|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} z_{x_1 x_1 x_1}^2 v^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Delta v|^2 dx + C (\|v_0\|_1^2 + \|w_0\|_3^2 + M_2^2 + \delta_0^2) |z_{x_1 x_1 x_1}(t)|_\infty^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.21)$$

Substituting (3.2.19)–(3.2.21) into (3.2.17), then integrating the resulting equation over  $(0, t)$ , and using Lemma 3.2, (3.2.9) and the estimates on  $J_4$ ,  $J_5$ ,  $\bar{J}_3$ , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 v|^2) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \{|\nabla^2 v|^2 + z_{x_1} (|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 v|^2)\} dx d\tau \\ &\leq C (\|v_0\|_2^2 + \|w_0\|_3^2 + M_2^2 + \delta_0^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.22)$$

Multiplying (3.2.17) by  $(1+t)^{\beta+1}$ , then integrating the resulting equation over  $(0, t)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(1+t)^{\beta+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla v|^2 + |\Delta v|^2) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\beta+1} \{2|\Delta v|^2 + z_{x_1} (|\nabla v|^2 + |\Delta v|^2)\} dx d\tau \\ &= \|\nabla v_0\|_1^2 + \sum_{i=4}^9 \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+1} J_i d\tau + \bar{J}_4, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.23)$$

where

$$\bar{J}_4 = (\beta+1) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^\beta (|\nabla v|^2 + |\Delta v|^2) dx d\tau + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+1} \bar{J}_3 d\tau.$$

By (3.2.3), Lemma 3.2, (3.2.13) and (3.2.14), the terms on the right-hand side of (3.2.23) can be estimated as follows:

$$\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+1} J_4 d\tau \leq C \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+1} |z_{x_1}(\tau)|_\infty |\nabla v(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau \leq C (1 + (1+t)^{\beta-\frac{n}{2}}), \quad (3.2.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+1} J_5 d\tau &\leq \int_0^t (1+\tau)^\beta |v_{x_1}(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+2} |z_{x_1 x_1}(\tau)|_\infty^2 |v(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau \\ &\leq C (1 + (1+t)^{\beta-\frac{n}{2}}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+1} J_6 d\tau &\leq C \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+1} |z_{x_1}(\tau)|_\infty |\Delta v(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau \\ &\leq C(\|w_0\|_2 + M_1 + \delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+1} |\Delta v(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+1} (J_7 + J_8) d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\beta+1} |\Delta v|^2 dx d\tau + C \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+1} |z_{x_1 x_1}(\tau)|_\infty^2 |\nabla v(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\beta+1} |\Delta v|^2 dx d\tau + C(1+t)^{\beta-\frac{n}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+1} J_9 d\tau &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\beta+1} |\Delta v|^2 dx d\tau + C \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+1} |z_{x_1 x_1 x_1}(\tau)|_\infty^2 |v(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\beta+1} |\Delta v|^2 dx d\tau + C(1+t)^{\beta-\frac{n}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.28)$$

Substituting (3.2.24)–(3.2.28) into (3.2.23) and using the estimate on  $\bar{J}_4$ , we have for  $\beta > \frac{n}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} &(1+t)^{\beta+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 v|^2) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\beta+1} \{|\nabla^2 v|^2 + z_{x_1}(|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 v|^2)\} dx d\tau \\ &\leq C(1+(1+t)^{\beta-\frac{n}{2}}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.29)$$

Consequently, since  $\beta > \frac{n}{2}$  in (3.2.29), we obtain

$$\|\nabla v(t)\|_1 \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{n}{4} + \frac{1}{2})}. \quad (3.2.30)$$

**Step 3.** Multiplying  $\Delta(3.2.2)_1$  by  $2\Delta v$ , using (3.2.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(|\Delta v|^2 + |\nabla(\Delta v)|^2)_t + 2|\nabla(\Delta v)|^2 + |\Delta v|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_i} + z_{x_1} |\Delta v|^2 + 4\Delta v \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla v \cdot \nabla v_{x_i} \\ &+ 2z_{x_1 x_1} \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_i} \Delta v + 4z_{x_1} \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_1 x_i} \Delta v + 4z_{x_1 x_1} v_{x_1} \Delta v + 2z_{x_1 x_1 x_1} v \Delta v \\ &- 2\Delta v \Delta^2 \left( v \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_i} \right) - 2\Delta v \Delta^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (zv)_{x_i} \right) - 2 \operatorname{div} \{ \Delta v \nabla(\Delta v + \Delta v_t) \} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n \{ (z+v) |\Delta v|^2 \}_{x_i} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.31)$$

Rewrite the eleventh term on the left-hand side of (3.2.31) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 -2\Delta v \Delta^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n z v_{x_i} + z_{x_1} v \right) &= -2\Delta v \Delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (z_{x_1 x_1} v_{x_i} + 2z_{x_1} v_{x_1 x_i} + z \Delta v_{x_i}) \right) \\
 &\quad - 2 \operatorname{div} \{ \Delta v \nabla (z_{x_1 x_1 x_1} v + 2z_{x_1 x_1} v_{x_1} + z_{x_1} \Delta v) \} \\
 &\quad + 2 \nabla (\Delta v) \cdot \nabla (z_{x_1 x_1 x_1} v + 2z_{x_1 x_1} v_{x_1} + z_{x_1} \Delta v). \quad (3.2.32)
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.2.32) into (3.2.31), then integrating the resulting equation over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\Delta v|^2 + |\nabla(\Delta v)|^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \{ 2|\nabla(\Delta v)|^2 + z_{x_1} (|\Delta v|^2 + |\nabla(\Delta v)|^2) \} dx \\
 &= \sum_{i=6}^{13} J_i + \bar{J}_5, \quad (3.2.33)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{10} &= -4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} z_{x_1} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla(\Delta v) \cdot \nabla v_{x_1 x_i} dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} z_{x_1} \Delta v_{x_1} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta v_{x_i} dx, \\
 J_{11} &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} z_{x_1 x_1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla(\Delta v) \cdot \nabla v_{x_i} + \Delta v_{x_1} \Delta v \right) dx \\
 &\quad - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} z_{x_1 x_1} \left( \Delta v_{x_1} \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_1 x_i} + \nabla v_{x_1} \cdot \nabla(\Delta v) \right) dx, \\
 J_{12} &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} z_{x_1 x_1 x_1} \left( \nabla v \cdot \nabla(\Delta v) + \Delta v_{x_1} \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_i} \right) dx - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} z_{x_1 x_1 x_1} v_{x_1} \Delta v_{x_1} dx, \\
 J_{13} &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\partial_{x_1}^4 z) v \Delta v_{x_1} dx, \\
 \bar{J}_5 &= -4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta v \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla v \cdot \nabla v_{x_i} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Delta v|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_i} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(\Delta v)|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n v_{x_i} dx \\
 &\quad - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (v_{x_j} \Delta v_{x_j} + v_{x_i x_j} \Delta v) \Delta v_{x_i} dx - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n (v_{x_i x_k} v_{x_j x_k} + v_{x_k} v_{x_i x_j x_k}) \Delta v_{x_j} dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

One easily gets

$$J_{10} \leq C |z_{x_1}(t)|_{\infty} |\nabla(\Delta v)(t)|_2^2, \quad (3.2.34)$$

$$J_{11} \leq C |z_{x_1 x_1}(t)|_{\infty} (|\nabla(\Delta v)(t)|_2^2 + |\Delta v(t)|_2^2), \quad (3.2.35)$$

$$J_{12} \leq C |z_{x_1 x_1 x_1}(t)|_{\infty} (|\nabla(\Delta v)(t)|_2^2 + |\nabla v(t)|_2^2). \quad (3.2.36)$$

It remains to estimate  $J_{13}$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\partial_{x_1}^4 z)^2 v^2 dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left\{ \max_{x_1 \in \mathbb{R}} v^2(\cdot, x', t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{x_1}^4 z(x_1, t))^2 dx_1 \right\} dx' \\
 &\leq |\partial_{x_1}^4 z(t)|_2^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \max_{x_1 \in \mathbb{R}} v^2(\cdot, x', t) dx' \\
 &\leq C |\partial_{x_1}^4 z(t)|_2^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \|v(\cdot, x', t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{x_1})} \|v_{x_1}(\cdot, x', t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{x_1})} dx' \\
 &\leq C |\partial_{x_1}^4 z(t)|_2^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \{ \|v(\cdot, x', t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{x_1})}^2 + \|v_{x_1}(\cdot, x', t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{x_1})}^2 \} dx' \\
 &\leq C |\partial_{x_1}^4 z(t)|_2^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (v^2 + v_{x_1}^2) dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $x = (x_1, x')$  and  $dx = dx_1 dx'$ , thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{13} &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(\Delta v)|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\partial_{x_1}^4 z)^2 v^2 dx \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(\Delta v)|^2 dx + C |\partial_{x_1}^4 z(t)|_2^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (v^2 + v_{x_1}^2) dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.37}$$

Substituting (3.2.34)–(3.2.37) into (3.2.33), then integrating the resulting equation over  $(0, t)$  and using Lemma 3.2, (3.2.22) and the estimates on  $J_6$ – $J_9$ ,  $\bar{J}_5$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla^2 v|^2 + |\nabla^3 v|^2) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \{ |\nabla^3 v|^2 + z_{x_1} (|\nabla^2 v|^2 + |\nabla^3 v|^2) \} dx d\tau \\
 &\leq C (\|v_0\|_3^2 + \|w_0\|_4^2 + M_3^2 + \delta_0^2).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.38}$$

Multiplying (3.2.33) by  $(1+t)^{\beta+2}$ , then integrating the resulting equation over  $(0, t)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(1+t)^{\beta+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\Delta v|^2 + |\nabla(\Delta v)|^2) dx + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\beta+2} |\nabla(\Delta v)|^2 dx d\tau \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\tau)^{\beta+2} z_{x_1} (|\Delta v|^2 + |\nabla(\Delta v)|^2) dx d\tau \\
 &= \|\Delta v_0\|_1^2 + \sum_{i=6}^{13} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+2} J_i d\tau + \bar{J}_6,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.39}$$

where



$$\bar{J}_6 = (\beta + 2) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + \tau)^{\beta+1} (|\Delta v|^2 + |\nabla(\Delta v)|^2) dx d\tau + \int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{\beta+2} \bar{J}_5 d\tau.$$

By Lemma 3.2, (3.2.13), (3.2.14), (3.2.29) and (3.2.30), we obtain

$$\int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{\beta+2} J_6 d\tau \leq C \int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{\beta+2} |z_{x_1}(\tau)|_\infty |\Delta v(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau \leq C(1 + (1+t)^{\beta-\frac{n}{2}}), \quad (3.2.40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{\beta+2} (J_7 + J_8) d\tau &\leq \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + \tau)^{\beta+1} |\Delta v|^2 dx d\tau \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{\beta+3} |z_{x_1 x_1}(\tau)|_\infty^2 |\nabla v(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau \\ &\leq C(1 + (1+t)^{\beta-\frac{n}{2}}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{\beta+2} J_9 d\tau &= 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + \tau)^{\beta+2} z_{x_1 x_1} v \Delta v_{x_1} dx d\tau - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{\beta+2} J_8 d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{\beta+2} |\nabla(\Delta v)(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau + C \int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{\beta+2} |z_{x_1 x_1}(\tau)|_\infty^2 |v(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau \\ &\quad + C(1 + (1+t)^{\beta-\frac{n}{2}}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{\beta+2} |\nabla(\Delta v)(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau + C(1 + (1+t)^{\beta-\frac{n}{2}}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.42)$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{\beta+2} J_{10} d\tau &\leq C |z_{x_1}(\tau)|_\infty \int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{\beta+2} |\nabla(\Delta v)(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau \\ &\leq C(\|w_0\|_2 + M_1 + \delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{\beta+2} |\nabla(\Delta v)(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.43)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{\beta+2} (J_{11} + J_{12}) d\tau \\ \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{\beta+2} |\nabla(\Delta v)(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau + C \int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{\beta+2} |z_{x_1 x_1}(\tau)|_\infty^2 |\Delta v(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+2} |z_{x_1 x_1 x_1}(\tau)|_\infty^2 |\nabla v(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+2} |\nabla(\Delta v)(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau + C(1 + (1+t)^{\beta-\frac{n}{2}}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.44}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+2} J_{13} d\tau & \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+2} |\nabla(\Delta v)(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau \\
& + C \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+2} |\partial_{x_1}^4 z(\tau)|_2^2 \|v(\tau)\|_1^2 d\tau \\
& \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+2} |\nabla(\Delta v)(\tau)|_2^2 d\tau + C(1+t)^{\beta-\frac{n}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.45}$$

Substituting (3.2.40)–(3.2.45) into (3.2.39), and using the estimate on  $\bar{J}_6$ , we have for  $\beta > \frac{n}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned}
(1+t)^{\beta+2} \|\nabla^2 v(t)\|_1^2 & + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\beta+2} \{ |(\sqrt{z_{x_1}} \nabla^2 v)(\tau)|_2^2 + |(\sqrt{z_{x_1}} \nabla^3 v)(\tau)|_2^2 + |\nabla^3 v(\tau)|_2^2 \} d\tau \\
& \leq C(1 + (1+t)^{\beta-\frac{n}{2}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.46}$$

Consequently, since  $\beta > \frac{n}{2}$  in (3.2.46), we obtain

$$\|\nabla^2 v(t)\|_1 \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{n}{4}+1)}. \tag{3.2.47}$$

*Step 4.* First, similar to (2.1.55),  $v(x, t)$  satisfies for  $n = 2, 3$

$$|v(t)|_\infty \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}. \tag{3.2.48}$$

In addition, similar to (1.10a) in [14],  $z(x_1, t)$  satisfies for  $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$|z(\cdot, t) - u^R(\cdot/t)|_\infty \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.2.49}$$

Thus, from (3.2.1) we have for  $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}
u(x, t) - u^R(x_1/t) & = \{u(x, t) - z(x_1, t)\} + \{z(x_1, t) - u^R(x_1/t)\} \\
& = v(x, t) + \{z(x_1, t) - u^R(x_1/t)\}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$|u(\cdot, t) - u^R(\cdot/t)|_\infty \leq C|v(t)|_\infty + |z(\cdot, t) - u^R(\cdot/t)|_\infty \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Similarly, we can also prove the *a priori* assumptions (3.2.3) hold. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions. The research of the first author was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (The Youth Foundation) #10901068 and CCNU Project (No. CCNU09A01004) and the research of the second author was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China #10625105 and the Key Laboratory of Mathematical Physics of Hubei Province.

## References

- [1] W. Beckner, Inequalities in Fourier analysis, *Ann. of Math.* 102 (1975) 159–182.
- [2] M.D. Francesco, Initial value problem and relaxation limits of the Hamer model for radiating gases in several space variables, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* 13 (2007) 531–562.
- [3] M.D. Francesco, C. Lattanzio, Optimal  $L^1$  rate of decay to diffusion waves for the Hamer model of radiating gases, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 19 (2006) 1046–1052.
- [4] W.L. Gao, C.J. Zhu, Asymptotic decay toward the planar rarefaction waves for a model system of the radiating gas in two dimensions, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* 18 (2008) 511–541.
- [5] W.L. Gao, L.Z. Ruan, C.J. Zhu, Decay rates to the planar rarefaction waves for a model system of the radiating gas in  $n$ -dimensions, *J. Differential Equations* 244 (2008) 2614–2640.
- [6] K. Hamer, Nonlinear effects on the propagation of sound waves in a radiating gas, *Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math.* 24 (1971) 155–168.
- [7] Y. Hattori, K. Nishihara, A note on the stability of the rarefaction wave of the Burgers equation, *Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math.* 8 (1991) 85–86.
- [8] T. Hosono, S. Kawashima, Decay property of regularity-loss type and application to some nonlinear hyperbolic–elliptic system, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* 11 (2006) 1839–1859.
- [9] L. Hsiao, T.-P. Liu, Convergence to nonlinear diffusion waves for solutions of a system of hyperbolic conservation laws with damping, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 143 (1992) 599–605.
- [10] F.M. Huang, R.H. Pan, Convergence rate for compressible Euler equations with damping and vacuum, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 166 (2003) 359–376.
- [11] T. Iguchi, S. Kawashima, On space–time decay properties of solutions to hyperbolic–elliptic coupled systems, *Hiroshima Math. J.* 32 (2002) 229–308.
- [12] K. Ito, Asymptotic decay toward the planar rarefaction waves for viscous conservation laws in several dimensions, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* 6 (1996) 315–338.
- [13] S. Kawashima, S. Nishibata, Shock waves for a model system of a radiating gas, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 30 (1999) 95–117.
- [14] S. Kawashima, Y. Tanaka, Stability of rarefaction waves for a model system of a radiating gas, *Kyushu J. Math.* 58 (2004) 211–250.
- [15] C. Lattanzio, P. Marcati, Global well-posedness and relaxation limits of a model for radiating gas, *J. Differential Equations* 190 (2003) 439–465.
- [16] H. Liu, E. Tadmor, Critical thresholds in a conservation model for nonlinear conservation laws, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 33 (2001) 930–945.
- [17] T. Luo, Asymptotic stability of planar rarefaction waves for relaxation approximation of conservation laws in several dimensions, *J. Differential Equations* 133 (1997) 255–279.
- [18] A. Matsumura, K. Nishihara, Global stability of the rarefaction waves of a one-dimensional model system for compressible viscous gas, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 144 (1992) 325–335.
- [19] Y. Naito, T. Sato, Positive solutions for semilinear elliptic equations with singular forcing terms, *J. Differential Equations* 235 (2007) 439–483.
- [20] M. Nishikawa, K. Nishihara, Asymptotics toward the planar rarefaction wave for viscous conservation law in two space dimensions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 352 (2000) 1203–1215.
- [21] S. Schochet, E. Tadmor, The regularized Chapman–Enskog expansion for scalar conservation laws, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 119 (1992) 95–107.
- [22] D. Serre,  $L^1$ -stability of nonlinear waves in scalar conservation laws, in: *Handbook of Differential Equations*, vol. 1, Evolutionary Equations, 2004, pp. 473–553.
- [23] Y. Tanaka, Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the one-dimensional model system for a radiating gas, Master's thesis, Kyushu University, 1995 (in Japanese).
- [24] W.G. Vincenti, C.H. Kruger, *Introduction to Physical Gas Dynamics*, Wiley, New York, 1965.
- [25] Z.P. Xin, Asymptotic stability of rarefaction waves for  $2 \times 2$  viscous hyperbolic conservation laws – the two modes case, *J. Differential Equations* 78 (1989) 191–219.
- [26] T. Yang, H.J. Zhao, BV estimates on Lax–Friedrichs' scheme for a model of radiating gas, *Appl. Anal.* 83 (2004) 533–539.
- [27] H.J. Zhao, Nonlinear stability of strong planar rarefaction waves for the relaxation approximation of conservation laws in several space dimensions, *J. Differential Equations* 163 (2000) 198–223.
- [28] C.J. Zhu, Global resolvability for a viscoelastic model with relaxation, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 125 (1995) 1277–1285.
- [29] C.J. Zhu, Asymptotic behavior of solutions for  $p$ -system with relaxation, *J. Differential Equations* 180 (2002) 273–306.